A Review of Smallholder Oil Palm Production: Challenges and Opportunities for Enhancing Sustainability - A Malaysian Perspective
Claudine Nagiah and Reza Azmi*

Abstract
In the last decade, concern about the negative impacts of large scale agricultural expansion has led to increasing efforts to promote sustainability across a range of agricultural supply chains, including oil palm. Often improvements in sustainability are implemented through the establishment of an international certification system that sets voluntary standards for producers and provides assurances to consumers, such as the Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO).

Small farmers are a vital part of the global palm oil supply chain. It is estimated that there are 3 million small oil palm farmers worldwide, producing approximately 4 million tonnes of palm oil, around 9% of total global production. In Indonesia and Malaysia, which together produce around 85% of the world's oil palm, smallholders account for up to 40% of the planted area. However a range of factors, such as limited awareness of new technologies and best practices and lack of financial resources, make it difficult for these small farmers to meet the requirements of the RSPO, placing them at a considerable disadvantage compared to other large-scale producers. As global demand for certified sustainable palm oil (CSPO) increases, these small farmers could find themselves excluded from the global CSPO supply chain.

Recently, new models are starting to emerge to help smallholders overcome these challenges. This paper describes the existing structures for smallholder oil palm production, examines their strengths and weaknesses, and explores the developing models that are supporting small farmers to increase production, enhance sustainability, achieve certification and access global markets.
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1. Introduction

In recent years there has been growing awareness of the need for more sustainable production across many agricultural commodity supply chains, including palm oil. Per hectare, oil palm is more productive than any other edible oil. It is now the most commonly used vegetable oil, with around 45.3 million tonnes produced annually worldwide (Dallinger 2011) for use in thousands of products including processed foods, cosmetics and cleaning products. Global demand is increasing, leading to large-scale expansion of oil palm plantations, not only in South East Asia but in Latin America and Africa as well. Often this expansion takes place at the expense of forest areas, peatlands, and local peoples’ customary lands, contributing to loss of natural forests, loss of biodiversity, ecosystem degradation, anthropogenic climate change, loss of traditional livelihoods and increasing land conflicts (WWF 2008, Colchester et al 2006). As a result there is growing public concern regarding the harmful environmental and social impacts of large scale oil palm production and demand for greater sustainability and accountability within the sector.

The Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil (RSPO) was set up in 2004 as a multi-stakeholder organisation consisting of oil palm producers, processors, manufacturers, traders, retailers, investors and concerned NGOs, with the objective of promoting sustainable palm oil through stakeholder engagement and credible global standards. Producers achieve certification by complying with a set of Principles and Criteria on transparency, legal compliance, and environmental, agricultural, labour and social best practices. Large companies including Unilever and Carrefour, as well as countries such as Holland and Belgium, have committed to purchase all their palm oil from sustainable sources by 2015. As a result RSPO certification is likely to become a basic entry requirement for major international supply chains, rather than an incentive that allows access to higher value markets (Dallinger 2011), as in the case of schemes such as FairTrade or organic certification standards.

2. Smallholders in the Global Palm Oil Supply Chain

Smallholders\(^1\) produce a substantial amount of the world’s palm oil, around 4 million tonnes per year\(^2\). In Malaysia, Indonesia and Papua New Guinea (PNG), around 40% of the total palm oil production area is managed by smallholders, while in Thailand the figure is even higher at 75% (Teoh 2010). In West Africa, where palm oil is produced predominantly for domestic and regional markets, smallholders produce up to 90% of the total output (Vermeulen and Goad 2006) although large scale plantations are also starting to develop. Smallholders are therefore a key component in palm oil supply chains.

\[\text{Figure 1: Estimated proportion of global palm oil produced by smallholders}\]

Smallholders in the palm oil supply chain fall broadly into two main categories (as recognised by the RSPO), depending on the extent of external support they receive:

2.1 Supported or “scheme” smallholders are structurally bound by contract or credit agreement to a particular mill. They often have limited autonomy to choose which crop they develop and are organised, supervised in planting and crop management techniques, and managed by the mill, estate or scheme to which they are linked (RSPO 2009). Supported smallholders may receive support in the form of seedlings, fertilisers, pesticides, and access to technical assistance or credit (Teoh 2010, Vermeulen and Goad 2006). Examples include public land development schemes, such as those under the Federal Land Development Authority (FELDA) and the Federal Land Consolidation and Rehabilitation.

---

1 The RSPO defines smallholders as family-based enterprises producing palm oil on less than 50 ha of land.
2 RSPO figures cited in Verburg 2009
Authority (FELCRA) in Malaysia, and extension services provided by private enterprises, such as New Britain Palm Oil Limited in PNG or through government agencies in Malaysia. Supported smallholders are often contractually bound to sell their crop to their local mill, and therefore may not always be able to obtain the best price for their crop (Verburg 2009). Furthermore in cases where mills provide technical assistance, they may not possess the necessary experience, skills, time and resources to effectively support their smallholders.

2.2 Independent smallholders tend to be self-organized, self-managed and self-financed, and have more autonomy to choose how to use their lands, which crops to plant, and how to manage them. They are not contractually bound to any particular mill or association, although they may receive support or extension services from government agencies (RSPO 2009). They tend to sell their crop to traders or directly to local mills and are free to negotiate to obtain the best price for their crop. They may therefore have greater bargaining power, particularly where they form a well-organised group, although in reality the number of potential buyers for their crop may be limited (FSG 2010).

A recent study comparing several different models of community involvement in the oil palm industry in Sabah and Sarawak found that independent smallholders perform better in terms of more effective and inclusive participation in the oil palm industry and financial performance, compared to the government-led joint-ventures and schemes studied (Majid Cooke et al 2011). The study also highlighted a further benefit relating to farmers maintaining or having greater decision-making power regarding their own land.

3. Known Issues Facing Independent Smallholders in Malaysia

Independent oil palm farmers face a number of challenges. Since they are not part of an extension scheme they receive limited institutional, technical and financial support and lack knowledge regarding best practices and new technologies. In general, they receive limited external assistance in the form of training, credit services, seedlings or fertilizer, and are likely to be less productive and produce a lower quality crop. Independent smallholders are unlikely to benefit from direct relationships with local mills. Often they rely on traders to purchase and transport their FFB to the mill and have no guarantees regarding the price that will be paid for their crop.

Box 1: Oil Palm Smallholders in Malaysia

Small farmers cultivate 41% of the 4.5 million hectares of oil palms planted in Malaysia by 2008, with around 30% managed by supported smallholders and 11% by independent farmers (Teoh 2010). The average farm size is just less than 4 hectares per family (Rahman et al 2008). 30% of the national output is produced by schemed smallholders (e.g., FELDA and FELCRA), with 11% produced by independent smallholders (Rao, cited in PalmOilHQ.com November 2009).

Smallholder yields are generally much lower than those of industrial plantations. For example Malaysian smallholders produce on average 17 tonnes of FFB per hectare per year, compared with the national average

---

Establishment
- seedlings sourced directly from private-nurseries
- development costs, e.g. land preparation, are self-funded

Maintenance
- self harvested and maintained
- maintained by casual workers or contractors
- organised via dealership who maintains and harvests crop

Transport
- direct transport using own vehicle
- dealer organises transportation of FFB

Point of sale
- dealership
- direct to mill or mill appointed dealer

Figure 2: Characteristics of Independent Smallholder Crop

---

Some Malaysian independent smallholders may be eligible for replanting grants and seedling subsidies.

Average plot size in Malaysia was 3.92ha in 2007 (Rahman et al, 2007)
of 21 tonnes (GoM no date). In 2008 Indonesian smallholders produced 2.52 tonnes of oil per hectare, 35% less than private plantations and 40% less than government plantations (cited in Teoh 2010). A 2008 study demonstrated that independent smallholders in Malaysia are less efficient than other producers, due to their smaller plot size, poor agricultural practices (such as using poor quality seedlings, maintaining old palms, applying insufficient fertilizer and harvesting unripe FFBs) and poor data management practices (Rahman et al 2008).

4. Independent Smallholders and Certification

Although smallholder certification can bring a range of benefits for smallholders in terms of wider market access and premium prices (see Box 2) it presents an additional set of challenges for independent farmers. Achieving certification requires skills in management, administration, quality control, marketing and service delivery that are difficult for smallholders to develop without support (Molenaar et al 2011). Furthermore the high costs associated with RSPO membership, training and certification assessments\(^5\) are beyond the means of most small producers, and may prevent their participation in RSPO. In recognition of these challenges the RSPO established a Smallholder Task Force in 2005 to work towards the inclusion of small producers in the RSPO. Smallholders wishing to obtain RSPO certification are not obliged to comply with the same level of requirements as plantation companies. However smallholder participation in RSPO remains limited and a number of issues are yet to be addressed. These include aspects of the certification system itself, such as the standards, supply chain systems and incentives, as well as wider issues including access to finance, farmer organizations and technical assistance (RSPO 2012\(^6\)).

The most common approach to responding to these challenges is to encourage small-scale producers to organise themselves into groups, such as producer groups or cooperatives. Different kinds of groups may exist depending on local circumstances, management arrangements, land ownership or legal status. Common group types include producer-based groups such as cooperatives or farmers associations, supply chain-based groups such as contract farmers, out-grower schemes and trader networks, and groups based around an extension service provider that may be public, private or non-profit driven (Molenaar et al 2011). Organising large numbers of smallholders brings its own challenges however, including effective administration, communication and ensuring fair representation of all members.

Box 2: Certification Benefits for Smallholders in other Commodity Supply Chains

Group certification can create a range of benefits for smallholders, across various commodity supply chains. These include improved market access, higher incomes, and environmental and social benefits. It can help to empower smallholders and strengthen their bargaining power and negotiation skills. A 2008 Committee on Sustainability Assessment study of the coffee sector found that certification under schemes such as Fair Trade and Rainforest Alliance brought better market access and economic improvements to a majority of smallholders (Giovannucci 2008). The study also found that certified farms were more likely to have better health and safety practices, labour and social conditions, and employee relations. Similarly in sustainable timber, a group of smallholders in Vietnam achieved Forest Stewardship Council (FSC) certification in 2010. Farmers have seen their incomes rise by around 50% as a result of certification. Training in plantation management and environmental best practices has helped farmers reduce pests and diseases, soil erosion and sedimentation, and maintain areas of biodiversity. There are also benefits for the supply chain, as furniture manufacturers now have a local source of FSC certified timber and no longer need to import certified raw materials from aboard. In this way smallholder group certification under FSC is proving an effective solution for Vietnam’s forest communities, the environment and the country’s burgeoning furniture industry (WWF 2010).

---

\(^5\) The cost of certification can range from US$1.19 to $34.66 per hectare (WWF 2012).

5. Emerging Models for Independent Smallholders

New approaches are starting to develop to pilot alternative mechanisms for organising and supporting groups of independent oil palm farmers. These new models are demonstrating that, with the right support, farmers can successfully improve their agricultural practices, increase the quality and quantity of their yields, enhance the sustainability of their operations and achieve certification. For example, a project supported by the German development organisation, GIZ, provided training and advice on improving sustainability in oil palm cultivation to approximately 1,000 smallholders supplying four mills in Thailand. The group successfully received RSPO certification in July 2012. Benefits to the smallholders included access to technical advice and support, increased productivity and higher quality crops, increased incomes and various agro-ecological benefits such as improved soil and water resources (May 2011). Benefits for the participating mills include a more secure supply of FFB, an increase of 1-2% OER, and the ability to meet rising demand for certified sustainable palm oil (CSPO) (May 2011, Kukeawkasem 2011). It is hoped that the group will become a model for other oil palm smallholders in Asia and further afield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>10–15% reduction in fertilizer costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yield increased 3 ton/ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1 THB/kg FFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Value Added</td>
<td>Average farm size of 7 ha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>~3,000 USD/year to smallholder;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-2 % OER increased for mill</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Benefits of GIZ Project on Sustainable Palm Oil Production in Thailand

Source: RT9 presentation by Dr. Y. Kukeawkasem, GIZ Project on Sustainable Palm Oil Production in Thailand, November 2011

6. Wild Asia Group Scheme (WAGS)

In Malaysia since 2010, Wild Asia (see Box 3) has been researching and field testing a new group scheme approach that provides a platform for supporting smaller independent producers. Known as the Wild Asia Group Scheme (WAGS) the aim is to develop new ways to organise, support and market small farmers in the global palm oil supply chain. It aims to address the current gaps in the sustainable palm oil supply chain by building and strengthening relationships between smallholders, CPO mills, traders, and large corporations. The scheme functions by providing technical advice, management support, training and capacity building to help small producer groups comply with certification standards (e.g., RSPO, Fair Trade, etc.) and access international markets for CSPO. Ultimately the focus will be to support independent smallholders improve their management practices, increase FFB yields, and help them to achieve compliance with RSPO standards. By helping smallholders to increase their production levels, the scheme will also enable farmers to improve their level of income.

A key strength of the scheme is its flexibility. WAGS is able to incorporate a variety of different group models, such as cooperatives, mill dealers and village communities, depending on the local circumstances and support available. Since the scheme started, a number of field projects have been directly supported by WAGS:

- in Sarawak a private mill and estate are supporting a WAGS developed group scheme including smallholders grouped around individual longhouses (village unit). The group was RSPO certified in 2010;
- in Sabah WAGS is researching the development of other village-centred producer groups. This project will include a number of “producer groups”;
- in Peninsular Malaysia WAGS is working with a refinery to guide independent CPO mills towards certification, and exploring the potential of dealerships or the mill as the formally recognised “producer group”.

7. Conclusion and Way Forwards

The palm oil industry has been more progressive than many others in initiating environmentally sustainable and socially responsible standards across its supply chain, particularly in regards to the larger growers and producers. However more needs to be done in regards to small producers. Greater research, support and scaling up of effective models are required to ensure that smallholders are not left behind. The current low yields achieved by independent small farmers provide an exceptional opportunity to significantly increase the production of CSPO from the existing planted area. With appropriate technical support, training, extension support and management, smallholder production has the potential to
reach similar levels of production as the larger estates (Teoh 2010). The pilot projects described above are demonstrating that smallholders can successfully enhance the sustainability of their operations, achieve certification, and contribute to increased production of sustainable certified palm oil.

**Box 3: About Wild Asia**

Wild Asia believes that an understanding of social and environmental issues is fundamental to sustainable development. Wild Asia’s mission is to inspire businesses to improve their social and environmental practices to meet and exceed global standards.

As part of our efforts to promote sustainability in the agriculture sector, Wild Asia introduced its Technical Support Programme, which is one of the first global support programmes, specifically designed at finding technical solutions to promote sustainability across the entire oil palm supply chain.

Wild Asia’s technical programme provides professional support in four fundamental work streams: Consulting or Advisory Support (evaluation and management support); Social and Environmental Assessments (HCV, SEIA, EIA); Risk Assessments and Assurance Programmes (supply chain mapping, due diligence or supporting internal assurance programmes); and Training & Capacity Building (consultants, management, work teams).

We have completed assignments in a number of palm-producing countries to date: Malaysia, Indonesia, Thailand, PNG, The Solomon Islands, Cameroon and Ghana. For more information visit [http://oilpalm.wildasia.org/](http://oilpalm.wildasia.org/)
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